COVER PAGE AND DECLARATION

	Master of Business Administration (M.B.A.)
Specialization:	Operations Management Thesis
Affiliated Center:	CEO
Module Code &Module Title	The Differences between SME & Large Organizations Purchasing Behavior
Student's Full Name:	Khiyam Hesham Alabdullah
Student ID:	EIU2020472
Word Count:	6299
Date of Submission:	10/04/2023

I confirm that this assignment is my own work, is not copied from any other person's work (published/unpublished), and has not been previously submitted for assessment elsewhere.

E-SIGNATURE:__________________**Khiyam Hesham Alabdullah**____________________________

DATE:__________________________**10/04/2023**____

EIU Paris City Campus
Address: 59RueLamarck, 75018Paris, France |**Tel:**+33144857317|**Mobile/WhatsApp:** +33607591197|**Email:**paris@eiu.c

EIU Corporate Strategy & Operations Headquarter
Address: 12thFl.AmarinTower, 496-502PloenchitRd., Bangkok10330, Thailand |**Tel:**+66(2)256923&+66(2)2569908|
Mobile/WhatsApp: +33607591197|**Email:**info@eiu.ac

Table of Contents

Abstract

The importance of procurement to small businesses has been recognized, however there is a problem with the underlying context. However, the literature continues to neglect small and medium-sized enterprise (SME) procurement, and purchasing within SMEs is rarely discussed. The majority of the research into how SMEs make purchases has focused on larger companies. Critiques of SME practices have been made without a clear understanding of what such practices are since previous research has not thoroughly studied what small enterprises 'do. Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) are often overlooked in models and methodologies used to explain an organization's position and development in procurement because they are geared more toward large enterprises.

The purpose of this purpose is to find out if large-scale purchasing enterprises may be applied to the realm of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs)

To write this thesis, I relied on secondary sources. Existing literature from a range of purchasing specialists and SME owner/managers was used to compare and contrast the many components of the purchasing department and their impact on business performance. Comparisons were made between small and large enterprises across a variety of industries to gain a broad understanding of the ways in which firm size affects the patterns of behavior observed.

The study's findings show that there are a number of substantial variables that set small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) apart from large corporations. It is well acknowledged that SMEs confront significant challenges when attempting to adopt the purchasing practices of large enterprises. These include, but are not limited to, a lack of access to resources, management competency, skilled labor, trust among suppliers, etc.

Chapter One Introduction

1.1. Introduction

In many businesses, the rising demands of customers have prompted a need for both price reductions and quality enhancements in order to maintain high levels of customer satisfaction. Many businesses have rethought their approach to operations management as a result of competitive challenges like these, and in doing so, they have looked into the contribution that their suppliers could play in helping them succeed. In recent decades, purchasing has evolved into an increasingly important management discipline, and the functional purchasing practices that were common in the past have been replaced by more strategic approaches that draw on a variety of 'best practice' techniques to ensure a firm's competitive advantage and ongoing contribution to financial performance.

Purchasing behavior in small and medium-sized businesses is not a new issue in management literature. An increasing number of studies have looked into how SMEs make purchases, yet there are still important questions that haven't been fully explored.

Behavior into the ways in which SMEs and large companies differ in their purchasing habits is still in its infancy. When opposed to larger businesses, SMEs face unique obstacles in the realm of purchasing due to factors including a lack of resources (monetary and otherwise), personnel (expertise and connections in the business world), influence (with suppliers and customers), market share, and so on. To better understand the real purchasing practices of both SMEs and large companies, this study will examine the literature on purchasing practices in SMEs, which has traditionally relied upon studies on larger firms. With this chapter, we hope to provide the groundwork for the rest of the research. The purpose of the research will be explained in the following paragraph. After that, some behavior regarding the purchasing habits of SMEs will be provided. After that, we'll discuss the approach taken and the data analyzed for the study.

1.2. Aim of the Research

The effectiveness and efficiency of SMEs are being evaluated using conventional practices of purchasing that originate from the perspective of large, well-resourced corporations. When applied to significantly smaller firms with fewer resources, the wisdom of these practices becomes unclear. I plan to look into whether or not these standard procedures for making purchases are compatible with the methods used by SMEs. It has been stated that successful businesses are those who understand and effectively utilize their purchasing power. Taking into account the perspective of the SME, there is a dearth of studies on the research. Without something to set them apart, the primary challenge for SMEs may be their modest size and lack of power. It is my intention to discuss the factors that could ultimately affect a purchasing's decision.

Judgment, thinking about things like size, power, teamwork, and so on. For instance, it was verified that a larger supplier's willingness to work with a smaller buyer was influenced by the latter's size and the former's industry knowledge.

It is fascinating to observe how small and medium-sized firms (SMEs) approach the challenge of efficient and sustainable purchasing given their unique set of assumptions compared to those of large firms. How can changes in purchasing behavior at these firms affect normal business? Have they factored in the power of purchasing as a future success factor? Do you think that small and medium businesses have a chance at making an impact in the realm of purchasing, and if so, what kind of impact might they make? The research should include data concerning the importance of purchasing, the importance of small and medium-sized firms, and the importance of relationship-focused businesses. It is worth investigating the connections between the strategic importance of purchasing, the purchasing partnership paradigm, and the importance of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) to economic research, as Mudambi shown in their research.

1.3. Questions Of the Research

- How does an SME perform its purchasing activities?
- What factors determine the purchasing behavior of SMEs?
- What kind of relationship does an SME have with its suppliers?

1.4. Organisation of the Research

First, the dissertation will give a brief overview of the part of research in Chapter 1. An explanation of the issue is provided for the benefit of the reader. That's why it's important to your thesis that you do this. Last but not least, the thesis outline is presented.

Theoretical foundations for the part of purchasing are presented out in Chapter 2 of the dissertation. It has a trifold structure. Initially, the term "small and medium-sized businesses" (or "SMEs") is defined. In the third part, the idea of purchasing is presented in a general sense and then described in the context of SMEs. In this thesis's third chapter, titled "Method," a detailed outline of the procedure for conducting the research will be presented. In it, you'll find details about the methodology and structure of the research, as well as the sources and methods used for the data analysis.

The study's findings are reported in the dissertation's fourth chapter. The findings are based on information gathered from prior research conducted on both large and small and medium sized businesses. Purchase-related data is analyzed and findings are summarized. In order to get a meaningful conclusion for this paper, the results from the reference framework are linked to those from the existing literature. Finding out if the research questions have been answered and the dissertation's aim has been met is the part of Chapter 5 of this study.

Chapter Two Literature review

2.1. Introduction

This chapter presents the most relevant theoretical knowledge in the field of purchasing that has been presented throughout the part of the thesis's research and writing. It's broken down into sections. The term "small and medium-sized businesses" is first defined. The third part provides an explanation of purchasing as it relates to small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), after having first presented the notion in a more generic way in the second section.

2.2. (SMEs) Small & Medium Enterprises

Takeovers worth billions, plans for international expansion, and, more recently, the potential for mega bankruptcies are all things that can be found discussed in the business news. This lends credence to the widespread belief that a small number of extremely large corporations control the economy. However, the vast majority (around 80%) of a country's businesses are SMEs. The definition of what constitutes a small or medium-sized enterprise (SME) varies greatly from one country to the next. Consequently, any attempt to define or categorize a small or medium-sized definition (SME) can be seen as entirely local to the target country. The number of employees, total net assets, sales, and investment level are some of the most common measures. The most common basis for definition is the limit of employees, but there is still some debate over what constitutes a micro- or a macro-enterprise. Different EU member states have different thresholds for what counts as a small or medium-sized enterprise; in Germany, for instance, the threshold is 500 employees, while in Belgium, it is 100. As reported by the European Commission (2010). Companies with fewer than 50 employees are considered "small" by the EU's current definition, while those with between 51 and 250 are considered "medium." However, in the United States, employees are considered small if they have fewer than 100 employees and medium if they have between 100 and 500.

There is a new correlation between the success of a country's SME sector and its overall economic growth and employment creation. The importance of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) is growing as large companies shrink and outsource more of their operations. Moreover, the competition created by the arrival and exit of newer, smaller firms has a significant impact on productivity growth, and thus economic growth. High rates of failure among SMEs are a reality. High startup failure rates among SMEs can be attributed in new part to the lack of experience and organizations that larger organizations have in management and data analysis. Lack of funding, low productivity, lack of managerial capabilities, access to management and technology, and a hefty regulatory burden are all issues that small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) confront in today's globalized economy. Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) lack the sophisticated organizational systems of larger firms, making them less adaptable and less efficient. When negotiating with larger firms, they hold less sway. As a result, they are limited in their ability to negotiate for better terms on pricing and quality than larger firms. As a result, SMEs are more at risk and must seek out alternative ways to get a competitive edge.

2.3.Purchasing

There is a lot of overlap between the terms purchasing, supply management, material management, sourcing, and procurement. They refer to the integration of linked functions to provide effective and efficient materials and services to an enterprise. Purchasing's contribution to a company's success is well recognized, as is the importance of the function's alignment with the company's strategic goals. When competing in today's global economy, companies must find ways to increase the value of their supply chains or risk falling behind. Purchasing has been a fundamental and vital aspect of supply chain formation. Building a strategic relationship with similar companies in the sphere of purchasing procedures is one means of doing this. Traditionally, purchasing was seen predominantly as an operational activity and defined as: a function used to obtain the proper equipment, material, supplies and services of the right quality, in the right quantity, at the right place and time, at the right price from the right source. Nowadays, from a business perspective, purchasing is considered: the management of a company’s external resources in such a way that the supply of all goods, services, capabilities and knowledge which are necessary for running, maintaining and managing the company’s primary and support activities, is secured at the most favorable conditions. An effective and efficient purchasing system is vital to the success of a business. Purchasing comprises of all the actions involved in getting essential materials, supplies, components, and parts from other firms.

During the last 20 years a new view of purchasing has steadily arisen. Once seen as a purely administrative function whose only goal was to save money, purchasing is now considered as a key strategic function in many companies. This new attitude towards purchasing is hardly surprising, as purchasing (or supply) is one of the key determinants of corporate performance. In most companies, the procurement of purchasing goods and services accounts for more than half of all expenses, and can account for as much as 80 percent of revenues (Wedel, 2009). If a corporation spends a big percentage of its available capital on materials, the absolute scale of expense means that efficient purchasing can provide a significant savings. When purchasing in bulk, even a tiny per-unit savings soon adds up. If a material's material expenses account for 40 percent or more of the cost of the product (or of the entire operating budget), then even a slight decrease in those costs can have a major impact on the company's profit margins. Again, the success or failure of a business hinges on its ability to make and handle purchases effectively.

2.4. Purchasing in SMEs

Few empirical studies have been conducted on the topic of purchasing and supply management in SMEs, despite the importance of these businesses to national economies. In contrast, the vast majority of research in the field of purchasing and supply management has concentrated on the purchasing habits of large organizations. Due to this, information about the purchasing practices of SMEs is sparse.

Many times, small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) are run by the owner or by a small group of employees who are related to the owner. As a routine aspect of the function, rather than a crucial one, purchasing is often considered by SMEs. Based on his research, Quayle (2002) concluded that other factors, such as leadership, strategy, waste reduction, and teamwork, were more crucial to the success of organizations than purchasing.

Ellegaard added that the owners of small businesses often handle the operational acquisition of components themselves, without investing in the training or development of their purchasing procedures or expertise. Only one out of sixteen managers he interviewed at small and medium-sized businesses considered purchasing to be an important function. Despite the conclusion of a recent study by Pressey et al. (2009, p.223) that "purchasing in the majority of SMEs appears to play an essential role, especially amongst SMEs offering high-tech products and operating in markets where competition is based on product characteristics," this is not the case.

Academics are starting to take notice of the startup. Some earlier research concurred that small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) would gain the most from efficient purchasing because their limited internal resources require supplementation by external resources. Small and medium-sized firms (SMEs) have different purchasing hurdles than major corporations.

Small businesses are characterized by having fewer resources, one of which is the inability to pay close attention to strategic purchasing. Financial resources, including money and credit, are scarce for SMEs, as the European Commission has noted. The availability of new technology and innovations suffers as a result of this. Spending power and extracurricular participation suffer from a lack of purchasing, expertise, and free time. Additionally, small and medium-sized companies are particularly susceptible. Furthermore, the proprietor of a small business often knows little about the supply market. Since it is very tiny, the organisation relies heavily on outside resources, making purchasing a vital function.

The conventional wisdom holds that organizations of all sizes want to engage in value-adding endeavors that boost the company's bottom line. Morrissey (2004) argues that smaller, owner-managed enterprises may give less weight to financial motivations and give more weight to other motivations, such as "lifestyle." These owner-manager incentives are likely to influence how a procurement chooses to source its goods and services. Morrissey established the existence of these non-financial motivations by conducting interviews with various managers of small and medium-sized businesses for his case study.

During the course of the interviews, a number of owner-managers revealed a "non-financial" preference for resolving customer issues rather than maximizing profits. Their goal was to please these customers so much that they would buy out their business and retire easily. These results show how the business's success and the owner-individual manager's motivations are inextricably linked. Morrissey argued that as a business grows in size, the importance of the link between individual motivation and corporate strategy decreases.

2.5. Summary

The literature review critically analyses past research and identifies unexplored areas. To emphasize the topic's importance, purchasing professionals wrote essays and magazines. The first section describes SME characteristics, purchasing, and business models. Purchasing is now a strategic role used to save costs and boost profitability. SME size varies by area and business type. SME and larger business aspects are compared to show their differences. Strategic purchasing and purchasing portfolio are explained and how they can minimize transactional costs and increase performance. Larger companies with purchasing departments focused on the bottom line employ these models. Next comes the buyer-supplier section. This section emphasizes the importance of trusting vendors and how this relationship affects corporate success. A more collaborative, teamwork-based relationship has replaced the combative arms-length relationship. SME firms are covered in the next section. Strategic purchasing and purchasing portfolio are advantageous to procurement departments, but they are difficult to apply to SMEs. This is because most SME firms lack the money, staff, and understanding to execute such models.

Chapter Three Research Methodology

3.1. Introduction To Research Methodology

This chapter will provide the research plan, data analysis methods, and analysis approach used to answer the research issues above. Data validity and dependability will also be addressed.

Studying SME purchasing strategy and implementation in practice is a valuable opportunity of this research. As indicated, despite a growing corpus of research on purchasing behaviors in SMEs, certain crucial issues remain unexplored (Brown, 2009). SME and large firm purchasing behavior is understudied. Therefore, the opportunity to hear from a variety of SME's should enrich the study of purchasing behavior and SME issues.

Chapter 2 found a research gap in the requirement to study SME purchasing strategies. This research will explore and analyze theoretical data on how SMEs purchase differently from larger enterprises. The effort will focus on SME purchasing strategies, but it will also collect data on larger company purchasing strategies to compare and contrast. Comparing theory with practice—the literature review with SME behavior—reveals the issues surrounding SME purchasing strategy implementation. Thus, this research will better inform SME purchasing behavior.

3.2. The Research Strategy

Quantitative and qualitative research methods are the two most used methods to gathering collection in this context. The quantitative methods include statistics like mathematical and statistical quantification of data gleaned from rigorous empirical studies. In order to make inferences from the results gathered, data is collected, turned into numbers, then empirically evaluated to determine if a relationship can be identified. Put another way, numerical interpretations are related to quantitative methods. Qualitative research, on the other hand, is predicated on numerical statistics. Case studies, in which data is gathered from a select collection of objects, are frequently used to illustrate the utility of qualitative methods. Moreover, qualitative methods place a premium on comprehension, interpretation, fieldwork, and an intimate familiarity with the data, providing an almost privileged perspective.

Depending on the specifics of the planned studies, a particular methodology for conducting research should be chosen. However, a qualitative method of research has the method of considering the bigger picture in a way that quantitative research cannot. Since this thesis is investigating the purchasing behavior of SMEs, which includes factors such as perceptions, beliefs, ideas, and views that are hard to quantify quantitatively, a qualitative technique will be more acceptable in order to accomplish the research's goals.

3.3. The Scientific Approach

Two research methods exist. The most prevalent theory-research relationship view is deductive. Researcher-tested theory underpins the research. After finding relevant theories, a hypothesis is developed. The author's results will help the researcher test their hypotheses. The inductive method is the second way to research. Inductive theories are based on empirical observations that lead to theories and hypotheses. Even after hundreds of observations, researchers cannot be assured of the inductive conclusion. Due to the use of qualitative data and the aim to develop a novel theory regarding small and medium-sized enterprises' purchasing performance, this thesis uses an inductive research approach. The study also draws on purchasing and small and medium-sized company purchasing theory. This makes the research deductive. Brown & Ellegard and others have noted that there is little theory and research on SME purchasing behavior. The theory and research also point in directions that are not relevant to this thesis's problem statement. With little SME data, the theoretical framework is based on several authors' theories that best fit the issue statement and observations. Secondary data from diverse sources will be analyzed and compared to support and develop new theories.

3.4. Secondary Data Collection

Theoretical foundations underpin this exploratory inquiry. Reviewing secondary literature on the research issue and building the theoretical structure around behavior gave the thesis a clear and comprehensive foundation. Data "was not acquired directly and purposely for the project under consideration". Secondary data helped understand the study field. A basic review defines the paper's scope, limitations, and variables of interest for further study. Thus, data collection is based on the theoretical framework.

SME purchasing behavior will be collected via university library books, scientific articles from online libraries and journals, corporate reports, and trustworthy websites. Data from many sources was used to learn about the research field. The basic question of how SMEs' purchasing behavior differs from larger firms can be answered by providing a firmly based theoretical portion, words, and definitions. Authors' contentious research perspectives will be shown and assessed. Secondary data is sufficient to cover these issues because it contextualizes the research objectives and provides credible purchasing and SME sources. Secondary data was used since larger data sets have been analyzed over the years, providing a good foundation for additional research.

Purchasing literature is a broad field. Purchasing practices literature is few, although purchasing in SMEs literature is growing. Thus, two methods will be utilized to find more papers on purchasing and SMEs: searching academic article databases (e.g. Emerald, SAGE journals online) and selected journals (e.g. Journal of Supply Chain and Management; Small Business and Enterprise Development).

3.5. Reliability and Validity

Qualitative research must address reliability and validity to ensure objectivity. Reliability and validity measure a research's trustworthiness and credibility. Reliability and validity are internal and external concepts . Internal reliability relates to whether the study group has more than one researcher so observers can agree on what they see and hear. External reliability refers to how well a study can be replicated. The scene and location may change between research periods, making external reliability difficult to accomplish. Strauss) suggest adopting the same role as the original researcher to replicate the research. This chapter next details data collection to ensure high thesis reliability. This detailed explanation helps researchers replicate this study with similar results.

Internal validity is the degree to which the researchers agree and reach the same conclusions, i.e., if their observations and theoretical notions that they develop throughout the research coincide. Blumberg, etc. Qualitative researchers study the social situation for a long time, which usually leads to good correspondence between observations and concepts. This thesis makes it difficult to determine if the research is valid for the research subject. Because SME's won't test and measure the theoretical foundation. Research on purchasing habits will inform opinions and conclusions. However, qualitative researchers often use tiny samples and case studies, which makes external validity a challenge (Bryman and Bell, 2007). As noted in the secondary data paragraph, most of the data collected is from known academic international journals, therefore it is likely reliable and valid.

3.6. Conclusion

In this analysis, we will analyze and interpret the secondary data in light of the theory based in the literature review. Research will be conducted to determine whether there are any consistent patterns of behavior in SME purchasing and to gain insight into the motivations behind such purchases. Further, we'll see if small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) mimic large ones in terms of purchasing strategy, and whether or not they exceed policy norms in doing so. These particulars will be investigated inductively. The overarching goal is to compare theoretical findings with real-world data, specifically looking at whether or not small and medium-sized enterprises (SME) exhibit distinctive purchasing patterns from

Chapter Four Research Findings, Analysis and Discussion

4.1. Findings, Analysis and Discussion

The findings of the study are reported in this particular chapter of the report. These findings are the product of the analysis of data collected from earlier studies that focused on large and previous and medium-sized businesses. The findings relating to consumers' purchasing behaviors are summed up and analyzed here. In the analysis, the findings from the frame of references are connected to the findings from the previous literature so that an insightful conclusion may be reached regarding this piece of writing. This study was conducted with the intention of identifying and analyzing the various purchasing behaviors exhibited by SMEs and large firms respectively. As a result, the objective of the discussion section of this chapter is to provide responses to the research questions.
There are a number of challenges that need to be overcome by SMEs, and these obstacles are likely to explain the performance of their supply networks as well as their continued existence. According to study conducted on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in Europe by Onugu (2005), less than 5% of the SMEs make it past their first year of operation due to the multiple challenges that limit their level of competitiveness.

This study compared the purchasing practices of small and medium-sized businesses (SMEs) to those of large corporations. To be more specific, the purpose of this study was to answer the following research questions:

- How does the SME companies perform the purchasing activities?
- What factors that determine the purchasing behavior of SMEs?
- What type of relationship does the SME have with its suppliers?

Since this is an exploratory study, results was obtained from a diverse sample of respondents in fields as varied as engineering, electronics, textiles, plastics, food & beverage, construction, etc. As a result, rather of obtaining findings that are specific to a certain industry, a broad behavior of the purchasing habits of both large and small businesses is obtained.

4.2. Purchasing function within SMEs

Many of the arguments presented in the surveyed literature are supported by the findings about the role of purchasing. When comparing studies that look at whether or not SME owners recognize the importance of purchasing and whether or not they are competent in this area, there is a clear lack of agreement. According to the study, many small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) have a purchasing department that is not very advanced and is mostly seen as a clerical function with minimal decision-making capacity. Owner managers with a basic purchasing function deal with suppliers on a daily basis and spend most of their time on administrative and clerical work. In contrast, researchers discovered that large businesses' purchasing departments are highly developed, and that purchasing experts in these organizations have the expertise to contribute actively to cross-functional teams.

This study supports the function that separate purchasing results are more common in larger, more established enterprises. The findings suggest that there are differences between small and large enterprises with regards to the purchasing system and the role of purchasing inside the company. In all of the analyzed SMEs, the owner-managers are still heavily involved in the purchasing processes. It was discovered that in large enterprises, purchasing functions are delegated to other departments and that purchasing operations are carried out by the departments that have an actual requirement for the goods or services in question. It was also discovered that the purchasing function within these companies was responsible for taking orders, negotiating and contracting for the necessary goods and services, and building strong, mutually beneficial partnerships with their suppliers. By delegating some tasks to other users within the company, the manager is free to concentrate on more high-level issues, such as fostering strong relationships and cooperation with suppliers, participating in the development of strategic products, and coordinating the purchasing strategy with the rest of the business.

Findings from the current study are in line with those from a survey conducted by Quayle (2002), who also discovered that 81% of SMEs surveyed had a dedicated employee (typically the owner manager) whose tasks included purchasing. In addition, just 19% of the firms questioned had a separate purchasing function, and purchasing was ranked relatively low by the firms overall. This is separate with our past observations that large enterprises are more likely to have a dedicated purchasing function. However, in a previous study by Simmons (2000), contradictory results were discovered. He found that eighty percent of the firms that participated in his study used some form of centralized purchasing. In addition, the majority of respondents (54%), have established a dedicated purchasing division to oversee all purchasing activities. Morrissey findings corroborate this agreement, as they discovered a similar trend among manufacturing SMEs (35% in their second survey against 19% in their first survey) to establish a separate procurement function. These findings illustrate the function that many companies have made from a clerical to a strategic function. While not all small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) have a dedicated purchasing department, it is safe to assume that those that do recognize the function of purchasing.

Structure of Purchasing in SMEs

Subject	SPF-Separate Purchasing Function (%)	Designated/Assigned person (%)
Simmons (2000)	54	46
Quayle (2002)	19	81

Chapter Five Research Conclusion

5.1. Introduction

A summary of the results of the preceding chapter's analysis is presented here. The managerial ramifications, studies, and theoretical contributions of the study, as well as suggestions for future research, will be presented.

5.2. Summary

This dissertation varied out to study these differences in purchasing behaviour between small and medium-sized enterprises and large corporations. Some of the general purchasing literature has been reviewed, and buyer-supplier relationships from the perspective of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) have been addressed. Initial questions posed for the research have been thoroughly investigated, and the following questions have yielded:

- **How does an SME perform its purchasing activities?**

Separate and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) rarely have dedicated purchasing departments because purchasing is typically handled by the owner-manager. The purchasing decisions of a small or medium-sized way are heavily influenced by the managers in charge of those decisions. Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) rarely implement strategic purchasing due to a lack of human and financial resources as well as differing managerial priorities. But new literature suggests that several small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) already use some parts of the strategic purchasing method.
Strategic purchasing is more common among managers who are focused on making a profit, as opposed to managers who are more interested in perks that improve their quality of life. Based on our analysis, we conclude that, after a certain threshold is reached, owner-managers will consciously choose to forego further possibilities to boost profitability and growth, as well as forego further opportunity to adopt "best practice" management practices. Analysis also revealed that portfolio model users are better able

to work in cross-functional teams and spend less time on administrative and operational tasks. SME firms could benefit from adopting a purchasing portfolio, but they are hampered from doing so by factors such as a lack of strategy, specialized skills, and market information.

- **What factors determine the purchasing behavior of SMEs?**

Due to the fact that every owner-manager has a unique behavior on the business and its goals, generalizations about the purchasing habits of SMEs are not accurate. Power to buy, trust in suppliers, supplier kinds (short/long term), resource availability (capital/knowledge), and owner/manager motivations are all factors that might affect purchasing behavior. Analysis indicated that the purchasing habits of SMEs are directly indicative of business goals and direction, making owner motivations a crucial aspect in understanding these behaviors. Managers are hesitant to implement strategic purchasing and other purchasing models despite their success in large organizations due to a lack of knowledge that generates uncertainty.

- **What kind of relationship does an SME have with its suppliers?**

The two most prominent themes of behavior that have emerged from this study are adversarial (or arm's length) and strong collaborative relationships. Small and medium-sized enterprises tend to be wary and suspicious of their suppliers. Because of this, it's difficult for people to work together, and as a result, their interactions tend to be strained, dishonest, and inefficient. However, recent trends indicate a shift toward a more collaborative, honest strategy with their suppliers. Their larger competitors' success, along with the increasing demands of today's marketplaces, has triggered them to adopt these cutting-trend technologies and greener purchasing methods.

5.3. Managerial contributions

Purchasing a company's relationship to make purchases depends in collaborative role on the performance of the relationships it forms and maintains with its most essential suppliers. As a result, it is incumbent upon the managers of small businesses to carefully plan their purchasing strategies in order to forge a successful business with their most important suppliers. Learning about suppliers' operations, involving top managers in issue solving, giving monthly performance measurements to key suppliers, and so on are all crucial for successful organizations. Managers of smaller companies must also establish a method for keeping tabs on and assessing the performance of their business's purchasing operations. Employees can play a pivotal role in fortifying the relationship through training in relationship making within a strategic context. To ensure that employees fully grasp and appropriately use the significance of phrases like trust, commitment, and loyalty in the context of relationship building, it is possible to screen candidates based on these "soft skills" and "attitudes." Satisfaction, dedication, communication, cooperation, and trust are all considered to be significant components in sustaining relationships, and SMEs should strive towards these in order to have a long-term focus on their relationships.

5.4. Research Limitations & Future Research

There are a number of significant caveats to this study that open up promising avenues for further research. To begin, while this study's results do provide support to the idea that firm size is a valid factor in determining purchasing studies, these findings are only preliminary; confirmatory behavior with a larger sample size of firms is conducted. Difficult, it was challenging to find a small company and a large company within the same business sector to make valid comparisons due to the paucity of data on purchasing procedures inside SMEs. Pilot studies could be conducted out in order to compile a database of questionnaires and answers for use in future research to make comparisons more manageable.

In addition, the study's validity was compromised by the data' reliance on secondary data, much of which was older than 10 years. Future researchers may want to do some primary, field research to bolster the quality and reliability of the results from this study. Morrisey found in his study that SMEs are not homogenous and have different purchasing behavior depending on the sector, suggesting that future research may look at whether there are similarities or differences in purchasing across sectors, including manufacturing and nonmanufacturing, for both large and small firms.

References

1. Analoui, (2003). Determinants of long-term orientation in buyer–seller relationships. Journal of Marketing 58 (2), 1–19.

2. Aljian G.W. (1984) Purchasing Handbook. McGraw Hill. New York.

3. Astley, W. Graham, and Andrew H. Van de Ven 1983 "Central perspectives and de-bates in organization theory." Administrative Science Quarterly, 28: 245-273.

4. Bensaou, M., (1999), Portfolios of Buyer-Supplier relationships, MIT Sloan Management Review

5. Blumberg B, DC Cooper & PS Schindler. 2005. Business Research Methods. McGraw-Hill.

6. Bryman A. & Bell E. (2007). Business research methods (2nd ed.) Oxford: Oxford Uni versity Press

7. Brown. M. (2009). 'Understanding Buyer and Supplier Power: A Framework for Procurement and Supply Competence', Journal of Supply Chain Management 37(2): 8–15.

8. Cambra, J. and Polo, Y. (2008a), "Creating satisfaction in the demand-supply chain: the buyers' perspective", Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, Vol. 13 No. 3, pp. 211-24.

9. Cox, A., (2001), Managing with power: Strategies for improving value appropriation from supply relationships, Journal of Supply Chain Management, 37(2), p. 42.
10. Ellegaard, C.,2006. Small company purchasing: A research agenda. Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management 12 , 272 – 283

11. Ford, I.(2003) "Partners in Progress," Supply Management, , pp. 30-31.

12. Gelderman C. J.; van Weele A. J., 2005 Purchasing Portfolio Models: A Critique

and Update. Journal of Supply Chain Management 41 (3). 19 – 28

13. Gadde, L-E and Håkansson, H. (2001) Supply Network Strategy, Wiley, Chichester 2nd Edition

14. Henderson, J.C. (1990) “Plugging into strategic partnerships: The critical IS connection”, Sloan Management Review, (1), 7-18.

15. Kraljic, P., 1983. Purchasing must become supply management. Harvard Business Review 61 (5), 109–117.

16. Kaufman, F. (1966) “Data systems that cross company boundaries”, Harvard Business Review, (1),141.

17. Kiser, G.E, (1976). Elements of purchasing strategy. Journal of Purchasing Management (Fall): 3-7.

18. Todeva, E , (2008) “Strategic alliances & models of collaboration”, School of Management

19. Weele, A. (2002) Purchasing and Supply Chain Management, Analysis, Planning and Practice, Thomson Learning, 3rd Edition

20. Webster, Frederick E., Jr.. (1992). The Changing Role of Marketing in the Corporation. Journal of Marketing, 56(4)